UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/702,489	10/31/2000	Donald L. Glick	BRM0002 9825	
27268 BAKER & DAI	7590 11/28/2008 NIELS LLP		EXAMINER	
300 NORTH M	ERIDIAN STREET	KOPPIKAR, VIVEK D		
SUITE 2700 INDIANAPOLI	IS, IN 46204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3686	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/702,4	189	GLICK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit	
		VIVEK	. KOPPIKAR	3686	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress
A SHO WHIC - Exten after t - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply apply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and or will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be till will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from optication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b) ☐ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	re withdrawn from o			
10) -	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the content of the content drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the content of	: a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	, ,
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3686 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

1. This communication is in response to the request for continued examination ("Amendment" and "Remarks" filed on August 20, 2008. This is a Final Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Amended Claims 1-6, 8 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 4,567,359 to Lockwood in view of "Insurance Services of America" (http://web.archive.org/web/19990420161222/httep://missionaryhealth.com/) (published on April 20, 1999) and in further view of "Volunteers Insurance Service" (http://web.archive.org/web/19991009121132/http://www.cimaworld.com/htdocs/volunteers.co m) in further view of US Patent Number 6,144,959 to Anderson and in even further view of US Patent Number 4,831,526 to Luchs.
- (A) As per claim 1, Lockwood a computer network system for generating insurance quotes, rates, and applications, upon input of information by a user (Lockwood: Abstract, Figure 1, and Col. 1, Ln. 45-Co.l. 2, Ln. 19), the user computer comprising:

Art Unit: 3686 Page 3

a user computer with a graphic user interface adapted to display an inquiry and receive input information from a user (Lockwood: Figure 1; Col. 1, Ln. 45-Col. 2, Ln. 19; Col. 5, Ln. 37-55 and Col. 7, Ln. 61-68);

a server computer in network communication with said user computer, said server computer including memory and a plurality of instructions, a first portion of said plurality of instructions enabling said server computer to generate an initial inquiry and send said initial inquiry to said user computer for interaction with the user (Lockwood: Figure 1; Col. 1, Ln. 45-Col. 2, Ln. 19; Col. 5, Ln. 37-55 and Col. 7, Ln. 61-68);

a second portion of said plurality of instructions enabling said server computer to receive said input information from said user and to generate an additional inquiry, with said additional inquiry being based at least in part on said input information (Lockwood: Figure 1; Col. 1, Ln. 45-Col. 2, Ln. 19; Col. 5, Ln. 37-55 and Col. 7, Ln. 61-68);

a third portion of said plurality of instructions enabling said server computer to receive further input information from said user computer and calculate at least one of a quote and a rate an insurance policy, based on said input information and said further input information, a fourth portion of said plurality of instructions enabling said server computer to issue a policy and include premium billing information based on said input information and said further input information; (Lockwood: Figure 1; Col. 1, Ln. 45 – Col. 2, Ln. 19; Col. 5, Ln. 37-55 and Col. 7, Ln. 61-68).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 4

Even though Lockwood does not explicitly state that the system could be used for one of ministries and religious institutions, the examiner takes the position that this use is within the scope of Lockwood which states that modifications to the scope can be made in the invention (Col. 9, Ln. 31-40).

Lockwood does not teach the following limitation:

including information regarding activities including at least one of camps, schooling, daycare, counseling programs, and foreign mission operations

However, this limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by "Insurance Services of America", which teaches a computerized system for generating inquiries about insurance related to missionary trips (see "Background" section in "Insurance Services of America"). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Lockwood to include a feature whereby a user could get insurance quotes on missionary related activities in order to provide individuals going on missionary trips with more enhanced financial advice specific to their needs (as recited in "Insurance Services of America" – "Background").

The combined system of Lockwood and "Insurance Services of America" does not teach including information about volunteers participating in the activities; however, it is known in the art as evidenced by "Volunteers Insurance Service" (Page 1) which teaches a computerized system for generating inquiries about insurance for volunteers. At the time of the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the combined system of Lockwood and "Insurance Services of America" to include a feature where a user could

Art Unit: 3686 Page 5

generate an inquiry regarding insurance for volunteers participating in activities in order to provide a means for an individual or organization to address the liability concerns dealing with using volunteers ("Insurance Services of America", Paragraph 1).

Lockwood in view of "Insurance Services of American" in view of "Volunteers Insurance Service" does not teach a plurality of instructions enabling the server computer to generate a communication from the server computer that, when received by the user computer, causes the user computer to determine if the user is an insurance agent, however, this feature is taught by Anderson (Col. 14, Ln. 66-Ln. 15, Ln. 9 and Col. 15, Ln. 57-62). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teachings of Lockwood in view of "Insurance Services of American" in view of "Volunteers Insurance Service" with the aforementioned teachings from Anderson with the motivation of having an enhanced means of managing user accounts as recited in Anderson (Anderson: Col. 1, Ln. 6-10).

The above references do not teach the following feature which is taught by Luchs(Col. 15, Ln. 24-62):

when the user is determined to be an insurance agent then generates a series of menus, at least one of the menus including a user edit screen enabling the agent to edit, save, and retrieve stored said input information and further input information.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the above references with the aforementioned teachings from Luchs with the motivation of having a means of limiting access to various segments of an application or

Art Unit: 3686 Page 6

software based on the type of user that is attempting to access the application or software, as recited in Luchs (Col. 15, Ln. 46-62).

- (B) Claim 2 and Claims 3-4 are directed towards a method for using the computerized system of claim 1 and a machine-readable program for Claim 1, respectively and these claims include the same limitations as Claim 1 and therefore these claims are rejected on the same basis as claim 1 which is set forth above.
- (C) As per claims 5-6, in Lockwood the application (query) and quote information is derived from the central processing center and a hardcopy is printed (Lockwood: Figure 1 and Col. 4, Ln. 56-64).
- (D) As per claim 8, "Insurance Services of America" shows a link to its proposal (named "Liaison International-see "Insurance Services of America--page 1). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Lockwood to include a link to an insurance proposal or quote (the link providing more detailed coverage information) in order to have provided the use with a more convenient and efficient means of quickly obtaining insurance detailed information on a desired insurance policy.
- (E) As per claim 10, in the machine-readable program storage device the data entered by the user in Lockwood is stored on a server (central data processing center) (Lockwood: Col. 7, Ln. 60- Col. 8, Ln. 2).
- (F) As per claim 11, in the machine-readable program storage device the policy, billing and account information in Lockwood are issued from the central data processing center (server) (Lockwood: Figure 1 and Col. 7, Ln. 25-Col. 8, Ln. 20).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 7

(G) As per claim 12, in the machine-readable program storage device in Lockwood policy and billing information is automated. The examiner takes the position that it is inherent that the system of Lockwood provides quote and billing information as long as the input from the user is valid (within valid parameters) otherwise no policy information is displayed (Lockwood: Col. 4, Ln. 56-64).

- (H) As per claims 13-20, in the combined teachings of Lockwood in view of "Insurance Services of America" in view "Volunteers Insurance Service" in further view of Anderson these features are inherent in Anderson because once a user is authenticated the user is permitted to use administrative features (Anderson: Col. 10, Ln. 4-16). The Office takes the position that the features recited in Claims 13-20 are within the scope of the administrative features ("administrator level privileges") recited in Anderson (Anderson: Col. 10, Ln. 4-6). The motivation for making this modification to the teachings of Lockwood is the same as that set forth above, in the rejection of Claim 1.
- 5. Claims 7 is rejected under Lockwood in view of "Insurance Services of America" in view "Volunteers Insurance Service" in further view of Anderson as applied to Claim 4, above, and in further view of US Patent Number 6,058,391 to Gardner.

Claim 7 has been amended to recite a feature where changes to the quote information are prohibited (the file is made "read-only") after the policy application is requested. The quote information file is further made "read-only" based on checking the status of the policy application on the server. Lockwood and "Insurance Services of America" do not teach this limitation, however, this feature is known in the art as evidenced by Gardner, which is directed

Art Unit: 3686 Page 8

towards an enhanced user view/update capability for managing data from relational tables. Gardner teaches a means wherein if a field is given a "read-only" status then that field cannot be changed (Gardner: Col. 11, Ln. 66 –Col. 12, Ln. 11). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add this feature to the combined system of Lockwood in view of "Insurance Services of America" in order to have provided a means whereby a user would be prohibited from making changes to data or information regarding a policy application.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 4,567,359 to Lockwood in view of "Insurance Services of America" in view "Volunteers Insurance Service" in further view of US Patent Number 6,144,959 to Anderson, as applied to Claim 3, above, and in further view of US Patent 5,867,821 to Ballantyne.

Lockwood fails to teach a user authentication system, prior to the collecting step, for the insurance quote and rate generator; however, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Ballantyne which includes a user authentication system in order for user to gain access to a website. This feature ensures that only authorized users have access to the system and information the website has to provide (Col. 8, Ln. 52-64). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have included a user authentication system, as taught in Ballantyne, in the insurance quote generation system of Lockwood with the motivation of providing a means to ensure that only authorized users had access to the information that the system of Lockwood had to provide.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 9

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on August 20, 2008 with regard to the pending claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- (1) Applicant argues that the "Insurance Services of American" and the "Volunteer Insurance Services" references do not qualify as prior art against the present application because they were published in 2004 which is after the 2000 filing date of this patent application. The applicant also argues that this website cannot be used as prior art because it was not indexed and searchable in such a way to qualify as a printed publication. To respond to this argument, the Office would like to point out that the above recited websites were published on April 20, 1999 and October 9, 1999 and this is clearly shown in the URL link at the bottom of the pages of the printouts of these websites. Moreover, the Waybackmachine is a searchable website and program which indexes websites in their original manner as of the date they are published.
- (2) With regards to the other arguments made by the applicant, these arguments are most in view of the new ground of rejection over the Luchs reference.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 10

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivek Koppikar, whose telephone number is (571) 272-5109. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday between 8 AM and 4:30 PM.

10. If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry O'Connor, can be reached at (571) 272-6787. The fax telephone numbers for this group are either (571) 273-8300 or (703) 872-9326 (for official communications including After Final communications labeled "Box AF").

Another resource that is available to applicants is the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR). Information regarding the status of an application can be obtained from the (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAX. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Art Unit: 3686 Page 11

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please feel free to contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sincerely,

Vivek D Koppikar /VDK/ Examiner, Art Unit 3686 11/28/2008

> /Gerald J. O'Connor/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3686